For the Northern District of California

| 1 | | |
|----|---|--|
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | | |
| 8 | IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | |
| 9 | FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | |
| 10 | | |
| 11 | PHILIP TRAINA et al., | No. C 12-1953 SI |
| 12 | Plaintiffs, | ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY CASE SHOULD NOT BE DISMISSED FOR |
| 13 | v. | FAILURE TO PROSECUTE |
| 14 | WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A., et al., | |
| 15 | Defendants. | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | On May 31, 2012, defendant Wells Fargo filed a motion to dismiss and to strike portions of | |
| 18 | plaintiffs' complaint. Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7-3(a), plaintiffs' opposition was due June 14, 2012. | |
| 19 | Plaintiffs did not file an opposition, nor have they taken any action in this case since it was removed | |
| 20 | from state court. | |
| 21 | Accordingly, plaintiffs are ORDERED TO SHOW CAUSE in writing by July 19, 2012, why | |
| 22 | this case should not be dismissed for failure to prosecute. The July 27, 2012 hearing on defendant's | |
| 23 | motion to dismiss and strike is VACATED. The Court will reschedule the hearing on the motion it | |
| 24 | necessary. Failure to comply with these deadlines will result in the dismissal of plaintiff's complaint | |
| 25 | without prejudice. | |

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: July 9, 2012

26

27

28

SUSAN ILLSTON United States District Judge